Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/015,982	MATNEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	John E Chapman	2856
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>John E Chapman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Vytas R Matas</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 March 2004 Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Claims 9 and 11 had been rejected under 35 USC 112, 2 nd paragraph.		
Claims discussed: 9 and 11		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		·
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Amendment of claim 9 had left off text. Amendment of claim 11 to clarify language.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
,		
· ·		
Ma E Chem		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)